

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/186,902	11/06/1998	DAVID JOHN PUNG	6937	2420
27752 7590 02/14/2003 THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			EXAMINER	
			PIERCE, JEREMY R	
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNATI	, ОН 45224		1771 DATE MAILED: 02/14/2003	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. PUNG ET AL. 09/186,902 Advisory Action Art Unit Examiner 1771 Jeremy R. Pierce --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which production for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency frequency frequency from the filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely frequency	filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRS	NAL REJECTION. See MPEP a) and the appropriate extension fee . The appropriate extension fee under final Office action; or (2) as set forth in n, even if timely filed, may reduce any
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the peri 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of t	og set form in he appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) \(\subseteq \) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see	e NOTE below);
that raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by material issues for appeal, and/or	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fin.	ally rejected claims.
NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sep canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been consideration in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to	
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below	×I will be entered and an v or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	,
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,8,11-16 and 18-21</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	roved by the Examiner.
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved or b) disapproved or b) approved or b)	2 DA M DO
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	ARON THING
10. Other:	PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/186,902

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues there is no motivation to combine any reference with Manning to obtain raised fibrous regions in the wipe. However, James et al. provide the motivation for providing raised fibrous portions to hydroentangled fabrics as giving surface texture and thus, improving aesthetics. Applicant argues there is no motivation in James to place a cleansing composition with the substrate. However, no rejection was made concerning modification of the James reference. Furthermore, no strucutre of the substrate material of Gordon or Pergozen was used in the rejections.